DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WATER PROTECTION BUREAU

P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901 (406) 444-3080

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Division/Bureau: Permitting & Compliance Division, Water Protection Bureau, MPDES Discharge

Permit Section

Project or Application: Seaboard Foods, LP Daily's Premium Meats-Missoula; MPDES permit number

MT0000094

Description of Project: This is for the renewal of a wastewater discharge permit for the Daily's Premium

Meats-Missoula discharge of once-through non-contact cooling water to the Clark Fork River, which is classified B1 by the Montana Surface Water

Quality Standards.

Benefits and Purpose of Proposal:

To ensure effluent water quality is protective of the receiving water. The Clark Fork River in the area of discharge is listed as partially supportive of aquatic life support-trout, cold water fisheries, and recreation (swimming) on both the 1996

and 2006 303(d) lists.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives whenever alternatives are reasonably available and prudent to consider:

None

Listing and appropriate evaluation of mitigation, stipulations and other controls enforceable by this or another government agency:

See Statement of Basis

Affected Environment and Effects from the Proposed Project:

	PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT			
Rank	Consideration	Remarks		
N	Soil Suitability, Topographic and/or Geologic Constraints (soil moisture, unstable soils or geologic conditions, steep slopes, erosion potential, subsidence potential, seismic activity)	NA		
N	2. HAZARDOUS FACILITIES (power lines, hazardous waste sites, distances from explosive and flammable hazards including chemical/petroleum storage tanks, underground fuel storage tanks and related facilities such as natural gas storage facilities and propane tanks)	Suitably located facility.		
N	3. AIR QUALITY (effects to, or from project, dust, odors, emissions)	None historically or expected to be associated with this facility		
В	4. GROUNDWATER RESOURCES & AQUIFERS (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, number of aquifers, mixing zones)	Lagoons have been removed as a possible point source to groundwater. Currently, no process wastewater is discharged at the facility		
В	5. SURFACE WATER RESOURCES (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, storm water controls, source of community supply, community treatment, mixing zones)	The permit reflects effluent limit changes due to the facility changes. Temperature limitations have been included.		
В	 VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE SPECIES AND HABITATS, INCLUDING FISHERIES AND AQUATIC RESOURCES (threatened, endangered, sensitive species, prime habitat, population stability, potential for human wildlife conflicts, effectiveness of post-disturbance plans) 	Temperature limitations have been included. to provide protection of these resources.		
В	7. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE, OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES (biologic, topographic, wetlands (within one mile), floodplains (within one mile), scenic rivers, natural resource areas, etc.)	Temperature limitations have been included. to provide protection of these resources.		
N	8. LAND USE (waste disposal, agricultural lands [grazing, cropland, forest lands, prime farmland], recreational lands [waterways, parks, playgrounds, open space, federal lands), access, commercial and industrial facilities [production & activity, growth or decline], growth, land-use change, development activity)	NA		
N	9. HISTORICAL, CULTURAL, & ARCHEOLOGICAL (sites, facilities, uniqueness, diversity)	NA		
В	10. AESTHETICS (visual quality, nuisances, odors, noise)	Decommissioning of lagoons has removed potential odor source and enhanced visual quality.		
N	11. DEMANDS ON OR CHANGES IN ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES INCLUDING LAND, WATER, AIR, OR ENERGY USE (need for new or upgraded energy sources, potential for recycling, etc.) {See (4), (5), and (8).}	NA		

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

Impacts on the Human Population			
Rank	Consideration	Remarks	
N	12. CHANGES IN DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS (population quantity, distribution and density, rate of change)	NA	
N	13. GENERAL HOUSING CONDITIONS (quality, quantity and affordability)	NA	
N	14. POTENTIAL FOR DISPLACEMENT OR RELOCATION OF BUSINESS OR RESIDENTS	NA	
N	15. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY (medical services and facilities, police, fire protection and hazards [see (2)], emergency medical services [see (8), LAND USE for waste disposal])	NA	
N	16. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME PATTERNS (quantity and distribution of employment, economic impact)	NA	
N	17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND REVENUES	NA	
N	18. EFFECTS ON SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES (social conventions/standards of social conduct), DEMANDS ON SOCIAL SERVICES (law enforcement, educational facilities [libraries, schools, colleges, universities], welfare, etc.)	NA	
N	19. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK (condition and use of roads, traffic flow conflicts, rail, airport compatibility, etc.)	NA	
N	20. CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, OR PLANS (conformance with local comprehensive plans, zoning or capital improvement plans)	NA	

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

N	21.	REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS (Are we regulating pursuant to a police power? Does the Agency action restrict the use of the property beyond the minimum necessary to achieve compliance with the Act? What are the costs of such additional restrictions resulting from proposed permit conditions? Are there	NA
		other, less restrictive ways of achieving the same goal? See your assigned legal counsel for assistance preparing this section. [See the Private Property Assessment Act checklist accompanying this permit for details.]	

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed agency action have takings implications under the Private Property Assessment Act?

SM: Rev.1

Query YES/NO Remarks/Justification NA 1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental No regulation affecting private real property or water rights? 2. NA Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical No occupation of private property? NA 3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the No property? NA Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? No 5. (If NO, then skip to (6).) Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of No property or to grant an easement? NA a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government NA requirement and legitimate state interests? b. Is the government requirement NA NA roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property? 6. NA Does the action have a severe impact No on the value of the property? Does the action damage the property (If NO, then skip to (8).) by causing some physical disturbance No with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		-

	generally?		
a	. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?	NA	NA
b.	Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?	NA	NA
c.	Has government action diminished property values be more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?	NA	NA
answer	Do taking or damaging implications exist? ⁽¹⁾ or damaging implications exist if the to questions 5a or 5b is NO, or if the to any other question is YES.	No	NA

^{1.} If taking or damaging implications exist the agency must comply with $\ni 5$ of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

Other groups or governmental agencies contacted or which may have overlapping jurisdiction: None

Public Involvement:

30-day comment period

Individuals or groups contributing to this EA:

State of Montana, DEQ Permitting & Compliance Division, Water Protection Bureau

Summary of Issues:

See Statement of Basis

Summary of Potential Effects:

See Statement of Basis

Cumulative Effects:

None

Recommendation:

Grant the permit reissue

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

Permit No.:MT0000094 Page 5 of 6

Prepare an EIS	Prepare a more detailed EA	X No further analysis
EA prepared by: Melee K. Valett	Date: <u>April 26, 2007</u>	
Bureau Check-off AWMB IEMB Approved by:		EMB
Bonnie Lovelace, Chief Water Protection Bureau		Date

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		_